

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/671,556	HEMSTREET ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas M Ho	2134	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Thomas M Ho.

(3) _____.

(2) Jim McDaniel.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 January 2005

Time: 2:00

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

1-19, 21-38.

Claims discussed:

1-19, 21-38

Prior art documents discussed:

Morgan, US patent 5220674

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Proposed to Applicant's Representative that Claim 4 was allowable. Applicant's representative agreed to cancel claims 31-38 and write claim 4 into claim 1, and rearrange dependent claims on claim 1, on the newly amended claim 1. As per claim 21, the only other independent claim, it was agreed that claim 21 being so similar to the elements of claim 1, would be written into the newly formed claim 1. Claims previously depending on claim 21 would be rewritten into the new dependency structure.